

SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT



This document may contain confidential information about IT systems and the intellectual property of the Customer and information about potential vulnerabilities and methods of their exploitation.

The report containing confidential information can be used internally by the Customer, or it can be disclosed publicly after all vulnerabilities fixed - upon a decision of the Customer.

Document

Name	Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis Report for eu21.football.
Approved by	Andrew Matiukhin CTO Hacken OU
Type	Token
Platform	Ethereum / Solidity
Methods	Architecture Review, Functional Testing, Computer-Aided Verification, Manual Review
Address	https://etherscan.io/address/0x87ea1f06d7293161b9ff080662c1b0df775122d3#code
Timeline	5 TH JUN 2021 - 6 TH JUN 2021
Changelog	6 TH JUN - Initial Audit



Table of contents

Introduction	4
Scope	4
Executive Summary	5
Severity Definitions	6
AS-IS overview	7
Conclusion	17
Disclaimers	18

Introduction

Hacken OÜ (Consultant) was contracted by eu21.football (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of the Customer's smart contract and its code review conducted between June 5th, 2021 - June 6th, 2021.

Scope

The scope of the project is smart contract in the mainnet:

Address:

<https://etherscan.io/address/0x87ea1f06d7293161b9ff080662c1b0df775122d3#code>

We have scanned this smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that are considered:

Category	Check Item
Code review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Reentrancy ■ Ownership Takeover ■ Timestamp Dependence ■ Gas Limit and Loops ■ DoS with (Unexpected) Throw ■ DoS with Block Gas Limit ■ Transaction-Ordering Dependence ■ Style guide violation ■ Costly Loop ■ ERC20 API violation ■ Unchecked external call ■ Unchecked math ■ Unsafe type inference ■ Implicit visibility level ■ Deployment Consistency ■ Repository Consistency ■ Data Consistency
Functional review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Business Logics Review ■ Functionality Checks ■ Access Control & Authorization ■ Escrow manipulation ■ Token Supply manipulation ■ Assets integrity ■ User Balances manipulation ■ Data Consistency manipulation ■ Kill-Switch Mechanism ■ Operation Trails & Event Generation

Executive Summary

According to the assessment, the Customer's smart contract has not critical vulnerabilities and can be considered secure.

Insecure	Poor secured	Secured	Well-secured
----------	--------------	---------	--------------

You are here¹

Our team performed an analysis of code functionality, manual audit, and automated checks with Mythril and Slither. All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed, and important vulnerabilities are presented in the Audit overview section. A general overview is presented in AS-IS section, and all found issues can be found in the Audit overview section.

Security engineers found no severity issues during the audit.

¹ Look for details and justification in conclusion section

Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to assets loss or data manipulations.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have a significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g., public access to crucial functions
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are essential to fix; however, they can't lead to assets loss or data manipulations.
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused, etc. code snippets that can't have a significant impact on execution
Lowest / Code Style / Best Practice	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations, and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.

AS-IS overview

Description

EU21 is an ERC20 token contract based on the *OpenZeppelin* source code. This contract cannot accept ETH because the receive function is always reverted. The *constructor* function mints 165,000 *EU21* tokens. After the contract is deployed, new tokens cannot be minted.

Imports

EU21 contract has 3 imports:

- *Ownable* – from *OpenZeppelin*
- *IERC20* – from *OpenZeppelin*. Comments have been stripped and the interface has been renamed *ERC*.
- *SafeMath* – from *OpenZeppelin*. Unused functions have been removed.

Inheritance

EU21 contract inherits *ERC20* and *Ownable*.

Usings

EU21 contract use *SafeMath* for *uint256*.

Fields

EU21 contract has 6 fields:

- *string _name* – a name;
- *string _symbol* – a symbol;
- *uint256 _totalSupply* – the total supply;
- *uint256 _decimal* – a decimal;
- *mapping(address => uint256) _balances* – a mapping of balances;
- *mapping(address => mapping (address => uint256)) _allowances* – a mapping of allowances;

Functions

EU21 contract has 13 functions:

- *constructor*



Description

Initializes the contract. Mints 165,000 EU21 tokens.

Visibility

public

Input parameters

None

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

None

- *name*

Description

Used to get the name.

Visibility

public view

Input parameters

None

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

Returns the name.

- *symbol*

Description

Used to get the symbol.

Visibility

public view

Input parameters

None

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

Returns the symbol.

- *decimals*

Description

Used to get decimals.

Visibility

public view

Input parameters

None

Constraints

None

Events emit



None

Output

Returns decimals.

- *totalSupply*

Description

Used to get the total supply.

Visibility

external view

Input parameters

None

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

Returns the total supply.

- *balanceOf*

Description

Used to get the balance of the address.

Visibility

external view

Input parameters

- *address_tokenOwner* – an address;

Constraints



None

Events emit

None

Output

Returns the balance.

- *transfer*

Description

Used to transfer tokens.

Visibility

external

Input parameters

- *address_to* – an address of recipient;
- *uint256_tokens* – an amount of tokens;

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

None

- *_transfer*

Description

Used to transfer tokens.

Visibility

internal

Input parameters

This document is proprietary and confidential. No part of this document may be disclosed in any manner to a third party without the prior written consent of Hacken.



- *address _sender* – an address of sender;
- *address _recipient* – an address of recipient;
- *uint256 _amount* – an amount of tokens;

Constraints

- The sender should not be a zero address.
- The recipient should not be a zero address.

Events emit

- *emit Transfer(_sender, _recipient, _amount);*

Output

None

- *allowance*

Description

Used to get allowance.

Visibility

external view

Input parameters

- *address _tokenOwner* – an address of owner;
- *address _spender* – an address of spender;

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

Returns allowance.

- *approve*

Description



Used to approve transfer.

Visibility

external

Input parameters

- *address _spender* – an address of spender;
- *uint256 _tokens* – an amount of tokens;

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

None

- *_approve*

Description

Used to approve transfer.

Visibility

internal

Input parameters

- *address _owner* – an address of owner;
- *address _spender* – an address of spender;
- *uint256 _value* – an amount of tokens;

Constraints

- The owner should not be a zero address.
- The spender should not be a zero address.

Events emit

- *emit Approval(_owner, _spender, _value);*



Output

None

- *transferFrom*

Description

Used to transfer tokens.

Visibility

external

Input parameters

- *address_from* – an address of sender;
- *address_to* – an address of recipient;
- *uint256_tokens* – an amount of tokens;

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

None

- *receive*

Description

Reverts ETH payments.

Visibility

external payable

Input parameters

None

Constraints



None

Events emit

None

Output

None



Audit overview

■ ■ ■ ■ Critical

No critical issues were found.

■ ■ ■ High

No high issues were found.

■ ■ Medium

No medium issues were found.

■ Low

No low severity issues were found.

■ Lowest / Code style / Best Practice

No lowest severity issues were found.



Conclusion

Smart contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools. For the contract, high-level description of functionality was presented in As-is overview section of the report.

Security engineers found no severity issues during the audit.



Disclaimers

Hacken Disclaimer

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment, and functionality (performing the intended functions).

The audit makes no statements or warranties on the security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bugfree status, or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only - we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have its own vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee explicit security of the audited smart contracts.